

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,256	12/02/2005	Benoit Regnard	17170/010001	8566
22511 7590 06/12/2007 OSHA LIANG L.L.P.		EXAMINER		
1221 MCKINNEY STREET			GARNER, ONDRIA L	
SUITE 2800 HOUSTON, TX 77010			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2834	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/532,256 REGNARD ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner **Art Unit** Ondria Garner 2834 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Ondria Garner. (3) Michael Leman. (2) Karl Tamai. Date of Interview: 08 June 2007. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 25 and 27. Identification of prior art discussed: Ishida US 2001/0022477 A1. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) $\times$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. KARL TAMAI PRIMARY EXAMINER Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In reference to claim 25, applicant argues that Ishida doesn't teach support for the phase connector and that there is a difference between an electrical and mechanical connection. The Examiner disagrees in that an electrical connection is a mechanical connection and is therefor "support." Examiner suggests that the applicant narrows the claim to clearly define the phase connector support. In reference to claim 27, the applicant argues that the phase connector doesn't extend from the cover. Examiner disagrees and suggests that Ishida teaches, teaches in figure 2, the phase connector extending from the cover.